

# Preparing an IDP for Interfederation

Considerations for [eduID.at](#) SAML [Identity Providers](#) for use with services registered with *other* Identity Federations via Interfederation arrangements (such as [eduGAIN](#)).



## Identity Provider Best Practices

You will find that nothing here is specific to Interfederation participation, i.e. *all* IDPs in eduID.at should be configured like this.

## eduID.at Identity Providers also participating in eduGAIN

(All eduID.at IDPs should participate in eduGAIN.)

<https://eduid.at/entities/idp/edugain>

## Rationale

Only by (also) participating in Interfederation will you be able to support your academic constituency in providing them with secured access to the resources they need. For example [E-Research](#) or [Student Mobility](#) cannot happen without international collaboration and shared, properly managed access to scientific tools or administrative applications. Cf. the [FIM4R \(Federated Identity Management for Research Collaborations\) paper](#).

## Metadata

All IDPs in eduID.at should load SAML [Metadata](#) that also includes entities known via Interfederation agreements, such as [eduGAIN](#). This metadata set alone is sufficient for all eduID.at Federation and Interfederation purposes, so can replace any previously used one:

## eduID.at Metadata for Interfederation

<https://eduid.at/md/aconet-interfed.xml>

As always, use the provided [Metadata Verification Key](#) to make sure the metadata is authentic and hasn't been tampered with.

## Make attributes available

Adjust the IDP configuration to lookup and/or generate any missing [attributes](#). You'll find copy/paste-able examples for all of those in our [IDP 4 Attribute resolution](#) documentation.



Every [eduID.at-registered IDP](#) should be able to produce at least the following attributes:

- Name attributes
  - [displayName](#) (urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113730.3.1.241)
  - [givenName](#) (urn:oid:2.5.4.42)
  - [sn/surname](#) (urn:oid:2.5.4.4)
- Identifiers
  - [SAML Subject-ID](#) (urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:attribute:subject-id)
  - [SAML Pairwise-ID](#) (urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:attribute:pairwise-id)
  - [SAML2 persistent NameID](#) (urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:persistent)
  - [eduPersonPrincipalName](#) (urn:oid:1.3.6.1.4.1.5923.1.1.1.6)
  - [mail](#) (urn:oid:0.9.2342.19200300.100.1.3)
  - [European Student Identifier](#) by means of specific [schacPersonalUniqueCode](#) attribute values, where applicable (tertiary education students)
- Authorization / Org data
  - [eduPersonScopedAffiliation](#) (urn:oid:1.3.6.1.4.1.5923.1.1.1.9)
  - [eduPersonEntitlement](#) (urn:oid:1.3.6.1.4.1.5923.1.1.1.7)
  - [schacHomeOrganization](#) (urn:oid:1.3.6.1.4.1.25178.1.2.9)

## Attribute release

Extend your existing IDP [attribute release](#) configuration to make use of [Service Categories](#), to enable scalable *and* controlled attribute release.



The use of the provided [Service Categories](#) to automate attribute release as much as possible is **recommended for all eduID.at IDPs**, especially [those also participating in eduGAIN](#).

Be sure to test your attribute release rules with the [eduGAIN Attribute Release Check!](#)



There are more useful tests available in our [Testing an IDP](#) documentation.

## Notify AConet

To make your Identity Provider usable with services registered in other federations [contact AConet](#) in order for your entity to become visible to those services.

If you added support for [Service Categories](#)-based attribute release (which is strongly recommended) please also [notify AConet](#) about which ones you support, so this can be documented in your Identity Provider's SAML Metadata. Signalling the support for a given Service Category allows services relying on attributes defined in such [Service Categories](#) to automatically filter which IDPs to make available for login. By only listing IDPs that claim to support a given Service Category chances of successful logins (and hence of a proper user experience) for subjects coming from those Identity Providers are greatly enhanced! Conversely, IDPs *not* announcing support for any of the popular Service Categories (i.e., those giving the Service Providers *no* indication that necessary attributes will be released) might sometimes find themselves unable to access some of these services, even if the IDP would release the needed attributes.